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The People’s University

- One of the largest public research libraries in the country
- Approximately 4.5 Million items
- Main Library and 28 branches
CLEVNET – 43 library systems in 11 counties
10 full-time professional catalogers

- PCC (BIBCO/NACO)
- Contributors to WorldCat
March 31, 2013
• Limit initial use to monographs

• Made a training schedule based on LC online modules
  (found at http://tinyurl.com/6n3z3zn)
CPL Training outline

• Self-directed study

• To be completed by 3/1/13

• Approximately 1 hour a day (5 hours a week)

• Meeting of small task groups (didn’t work out)
Cataloger’s Judgment
**Weekly Departmental meetings – CPL Core**

---

**Draft CPL RDA Bibliographic Record Workform**

*For all levels of records follow PCC*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Ind</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>RDA Inst.</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>010</td>
<td></td>
<td>Library of Congress control number</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>020</td>
<td></td>
<td>ISBN (International Standard Book Number)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>040</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sa DLC $b eng $e rda $c DLC</td>
<td>If Elvl 3 “$b eng $e rda” is added manually</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>041</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sa [language of item] $b [language of original]</td>
<td>Use for language codes if translation or in more than one language</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 0# or 1#</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sa [Creator], Se [relationship]</td>
<td>19.2.1 Appendix I</td>
<td>$e [relationship] is repeatable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.2.1.1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sa [meeting name] $n [number of part/section/meeting] $d [date of meeting] $c [location of meeting]</td>
<td>19.3.1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sa [preferred title of work]</td>
<td>6.2.2.1</td>
<td>Only one $1 If more than one language use 7XX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sa [uniform title] $k [form subheading] $l [language] $f [date]</td>
<td>6.2.2</td>
<td>Preferred title of work/expression Only one $1 If more than one language use 7XX</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
CPL Core = LC Core rules + LC-PCC PSs + Local practices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Ind</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>RDA Inst.</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 245   |     | $a$ [title] | 2.3.2.1 | $\S c$ : Multiple authors : First author in 100 field 2.4.1.4  
PCC include all authors names do not omit names and do not  
abridge statement of responsibility  
For CPL: Include all illustrators in 245 $\S c$ and add 700 fields.  
Add 700 field at Cataloger’s discretion  
In Sirsi ONLY use the GMD $\S h$ [text (large print)]  
CPL: Do NOT leave in all capital letters. Capitalize first word and  
proper nouns for original cataloging  
Do NOT abridge the statement of responsibility 2.4.1.4 LCC-PCC  
PS |
| 246   |     | $a$ [variant title]: $b$ remainder of title | 2.3.6 | RDA has instruction for parallel, variant, etc. |
| 250   |     | $a$ [edition] | 2.5.2.1 | CPL: Treat paperback editions as printing statements UNLESS  
there are significant changes in content, publisher’s name (Simon &  
Schuster vs. Simon & Schuster Paperbacks), or the word  
“published” is part of the edition statement (1st pbk, edition  
published in 2013) |
Additional training and resources

• Webinars with LC

• Records reviewed by a PCC trainer

• Attending conferences and programs
Meanwhile...

on the other side of the Technical Services Department
Moving forward…

Attending conferences and seeking training opportunities for other formats

- Authority records
- Serials
- Audio Visual
- Music Scores
- Special objects

Introducing Public Service staff and CLEVNET libraries to RDA features
# RDA

## Pros
- Explains and exploits relationships using $e$ and $i$ fields
- Using specific fields makes it easier for machine to find relationships
- Can now add all authors of a work or 700 entries without justifying in a record
- RDA is more flexible. Granulized data
- A work in progress – someday it will all catch up

## Cons
- ILS doesn’t recognize AuthorName, $e$ author as the same as AuthorName
- Nothing new – serials and 700s on monographs
- Who has time to add that many authors and create authorities?
- ILS isn’t using the fixed fields and coded data we already have
- Like working with a green screen – can’t see the change
Conclusions...

Based in AACR2 – much that is similar

RDA is still a “work in progress”

Improvements and enhancements are ongoing

Whether you like it (or hate it) RDA is here to stay, have faith that our systems will catch up with it!
Questions?

And if you have a later question, feel free to e-mail us:

Regina. Houseman@cpl.org
Barbara.Satow@cpl.org